

United States Patent and Trademark Office

NITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE inited States Patent and Trademark Office ddress COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS PO. Box 1450 Abvanding Virginia 27313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	£	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/976,601	10/12/2001		Chad A. Mirkin		00-713-i16	4367
	7590	05/12/2003	ι .			
Emily Miao			ſ	EXAMINER		
McDonnell Boehnen Hulbert & Berghoff 32nd Floor 300 S. Wacker Drive				RILEY, JEZIA		JEZIA
Chicago, IL 60606					ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			•		1637	
				ì	DATE MAILED: 05/12/2003	1()

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. •								
	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	09/976,601	MIRKIN ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Jezia Riley	1637						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, within the statutory minimur will apply and will expire SIX (cause the application to bed	may a reply be timely filed n of thirty (30) days will be considered timely 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this or ome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on								
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	is action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa			e merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 19	35 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>185-188</u> is/are pending in the applica	tion.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideratio	n.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>185-188</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requireme	nt.						
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		0.0.0.440(=).(-i)(0						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (t).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents								
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting	c priority under 35 U	.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional	application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)	-							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) No	erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper Not lice of Informal Patent Application (PTo er:						
I.S. Patent and Trademark Office	tion Summary	Part of Paner No. 1	n					

Application/Control Number: 09/976,601

Art Unit: 1637

DETAILED ACTION

 The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The continuation data in the specification are incomplete.

If applicant desires priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 based upon a parent application, specific reference to the parent application must be made in the instant application. It is noted that this appears as the first sentence of the specification following the title. Status of the parent application (whether patented or abandoned) should also be included. If a parent application has become a patent, the expression "Patent No." should follow the filing date of the parent application. If a parent application has become abandoned, the expression "abandoned" should follow the filing date of the parent application. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 3. Claims 185-188 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yguerabide et al. (6,214,560).

Yguerabide et al. discloses a method of light illumination and detection named "DLASLPD" (direct light angled for scattered light only from particle detected) disclose Application/Control Number: 09/976,601

Art Unit: 1637

an analyte assay using gold particulate label for specific detection of one or more One or more analytes in a sample can be detected and analytes in a sample. measured by detection and/or measurement of one or more of the specific light scattering properties of metal-like particles. (Summary of the Invention). For example, a certain nucleic acid analyte is composed of about 100 nucleic acid bases and is present in a sample. The sample is prepared so that this nucleic acid is in a single stranded form. Then two or more unique single-stranded "probe" nucleic acid sequences are added to the sample where these different probes bind to different regions of the target Each of these probes has attached to one or more particles (col. 74). Further, the particles can form different types of aggregates that can be detected visually or instrumentally in a microscope or through macroscopic observation or measurements without having to separate free from analyte bound particles. The method provides for useful apparatus and particle types for specific test kits can be constructed. These different test kits, and associated apparatus are useful for applications to consumer use, portable field use, point of care applications such as doctor's offices, clinics, emergency rooms and the like, research laboratories, and centralized high throughput testing.

Double Patenting

4. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re*

Application/Control Number: 09/976,601

Art Unit: 1637

Ockert, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

- 5. Claim 188 is provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claim 188 of copending Application No. 09/976968. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jezia Riley whose telephone number is 703-305-6855. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30AM 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached on 703-308-1119. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3014 for regular communications and 703-308-4242 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

May 12, 2003

JEZIA RILEY PRIMARY EXAMINER